	46
1	decision to have a meeting to talk about it further.
2	Q Do you know whether or not Mr. Keating had called
3	Senator DeConcini prior to March 19th about having such a
4	meeting
5	A I don't know if he called specifically about a
6	meeting. I know he had certainly talked to him about the
7	problems he was having with the audit, the related problems.
8	I think he probably, yes, I know he did talk to him about a
9	meeting because I know early on, Senator DeConcini had said,
10	I'm not the right person to have a meeting because there's
11	no love lost between me and Gray.
12	But I don't know the timing of that.
13	Q Could you tell us what the substance, with as
14	much detail as you can recall, of the meeting between the
15_	Senators on March 19, 1987.
16	A We went down to Senator McCain's office. The
17	talk largely focused on whether or not a meeting with Ed
18	Gray was going to be productive and whether Ed Gray was
19	likely to misrepresent I recall specifically Senator
20	McCain saying that he had talked to a friend of his who was
21	a prominent republican woman. I don't remember who. And
22	that she had said that something along the lines, and I
23	don't remember the exact words, but that he was paranoid and
24	likely to misrepresent. And that was of great concern to
25	the Senators.

-

۰.

..

•

li P ⁱ t	47
1	Q Did Senator DeConcini suggest that this meeting,
2	you've heard Ms. VanPaasschen's testimony or read it on this
3	point.
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did Senator DeConcini, at any time, suggest that
6	they go to Ed Gray's office?
7	A Yes, they did. And that's common practice with
8	Senator DeConcini.
9	Q But Senator DeConcini did suggest that?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q Did Senator DeConcini suggest, in any way, that
12	if that didn't work, that they fly out to San Francisco to
13	meet the regulators?
14	A No, he did not.
15	Q At any time during that meeting, did Senator
16	McCain ever appear to be uncomfortable with any of the
17	conversation coming from Senator DeConcini?
18	A No.
19	Q What, if anything, did Senator McCain say about
20	the issue about being circumspect, or words to that effect,
21	because of Mr. Gray?
22	A I don't know that there was anything about being
23	circumspect, but
24	Q Well, you talked about someone saying that Gray
25	was paranoid.

.

.

--

	48
1	A Right. That seems to me was one of the major
2	thrusts of the meeting, discussing whether or not a meeting
3	with Gray was going to be useful, and if he would fairly
4	represent it, or if it might be misrepresented in the press.
5	Q To the best of your recollection, at this
6	meeting, did Senator McCain at any time object to any of the
7	suggestions being made by Senator DeConcini?
8	A No.
_ 9	Q Did Ms. VanPaasschen?
10	A No.
11	Q Was there anything said at that meeting which
12	caused you discomfort?
13	A No
14	Q How was the meeting left?
15	A I came out of it with a feeling that they were
16	going to have a meeting, but I don't think they necessarily
17	made a final decision. But my feeling was that was the way
18	it was progressing.
19	Q You indicated that Senator DeConcini had
20	indicated that he might be the right guy for this job.
21	What was your basis for saying that?
22	A Well, he had called for his resignation in 1985
23	and I don't think that was a secret. And I think it was
24	fairly clear that he was no great fan of Mr. Gray's. And so
25	it's not necessarily always useful to have a meeting with

-

ę.		
	a · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	49	
1	someone who comes into it knowing you don't like them.	
2	Q To your knowledge, did anyone else refuse to have	
3	that meeting?	
4	A NO.	
5	Q Would you be kind enough to look at Special	
6	Counsel Exhibit 140.	
7	(Handing document to witness.)	
8	Can you identify this?	
9	A Yes. It's a memo from me to Senator DeConcini.	
10	Q This was done, I take it, in preparation for the	
11	meeting of April 2nd?	
12	A NO.	
13	Q What was this done for?	
14	A The Senator had received a large amount of	
15	information from ACC about their problems. The Senator gave	
16	it to me and asked me to summarize it.	
17	Q is that what this is?	
18	A It's a summary plus some editorial comments of	
19	mine, some information I got from news accounts.	
20	Q Would you be kind enough to this is the only	
21	one of any length that I'd ask you to read into the record,	
22	but would you please read this.	
23	I have a few questions about it.	
24	A "Confidential: March 19, 1987	
_ 25	"To Senator DeConcini .	

·

-

I. Sta

-	
li L	
	50
1	"From Laurie A. Sedlmayr
2	"Re: American Continental
3	"The following is in follow up to our earlier
4	conversation.
5	"What American Continental wants from Gray for
6	concessions.
7	"1. Correction of incorrectly appraised
8	assets. AC would be willing to have an
9	independent third party Arizona appraiser do the
10	work.
11	Example. Phonecian Country Club appraised
12	by FHLBB at \$120 million. AC appraises at \$200
13	million. Because these assets are undervalued,
14	AC's net worth decreases. FHLBB requires a net
15	worth of 3 percent.
16	"2. The Capital Regulation, which determines
17	capital net worth requirements, has a provision
18	on direct investment. The Capital Regulation
19	requires direct investments in excess of the
20	FHLBB limit of ten percent? [I wasn't certain]
21	made after December 10, 1984, be partially
22	included in net worth requirements. The Capital
23	Regulation stated that direct investments had to
24	be made, legally committed to be made, or that
25	there be a definite plan to make such direct
20	mere pe a definite pran co make pach affect

-

;	
<i>,</i>	
	51
1	investments.
2	"AC has \$600 million in disputed direct
3	investments with FHLBB. AC states they qualify
4	for grandfather; FHLBB says they do not. Under
5	the Capital Reg, ten percent of the not permitted
6	direct investments (10 percent of \$600 million minus \$60 million) will be added to the net worth
8	requirement. For AC, that means increasing their
9	net worth requirement from 3 percent, about \$74
10	million, by an additional \$60 million, total of
10	\$134 million.
12	"The problem works out like this: On the one
	hand, the FHLBB is lowering AC's net worth by low
14	appraisal values for property. On the other
15	hand, they are raising AC's net worth
16	requirements through the direct investment
17	section of the Capital Regulation.
18	"[Note. The issue for which Lee Henkel
19	Q Excuse me. Just in fairness. Is this when
20	you're saying, editorializing, is this what you're
21	A Yes. There's some others, but the "note" is
22	definitely, and I'm sorry, the "Note's" in brackets.
23	"[Note, The issue for which Lee Henkel has
24	gotten in so much trouble is a separate issue,
25	but nonetheless a related issue. Henkel sought
-	

to clarify a definition in the direct investment regulation as distinct from the direct investment section of the Capital Regulation. Under the Direct Investment Regulation, S&Ls must seek a waiver of the FHLBB's Ten Percent Direct Investment Regulation is they exceed the ten percent limit. Because of the dispute of the meaning of the grandfather date, AC is in violation. Henkel sought to clarify the Direct Investment Regulation so as to benefit AC. While this would not have changed the Capital Regulation, certainly it could be expected that after changing the Direct Investment Regulation, the Board would move to put the Direct Investment section of the Capital Regulation in harmony with it.]" "What American Continental is willing to do

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1. 13 - 1 - 2

"what American Continental is willing to do.
The major thing that AC is willing to do is
remove itself from its status as a federally-
insured institution over a ten-year period.
While AC argues that they are thereby giving up a
\$50 million charter they paid for, I am not sure
that the FHLBB will see it that way. AC has made
a great deal of money through Lincoln and I am
frankly doubtful that the FHLBB (Gray) will see

	- 53
1	this as a great triumph. AC feels that this is a
2	major concession.
3	"Other items.
4	"1. As noted, Lincoln does not make home
5	loans, the ostensible primary purpose of
6	Federally-insured S&Ls. Lincoln is setting up a
7	home loan program in Southern California
8	beginning April, 1987. Fifty-five percent of new
9	depositr, about \$75 million will be used for the
10	home loan program. All loans will be resold on
11	the secondary market.
12	"2. Lincoln has already improved the
13	condition of their books and recordkeeping to be
14	in accord with Accepted FHLBB practices.
15	"3. Lincoln invests heavily in junk bonds.
16	They would agree to limit that to 15 percent of
17	assets (presently they're at about 11 percent).
18	The FHLBB allows federally chartered only ten
19	percent and feels that more than that is risky.
20	At this time, Lincoln does not invest in hostile
21	takeover junk, but Grogan indicates that they
22	would not be willing to make that permanent.
23	"4. Lincoln could agree to limit or curtail
24	further land investments."
25	Q Now, where did you get all the information? Was

		-
		- 54
1	that the	stuff they sent you in the
2	λ	Most of it is material that the Senator gave me
3	and aske	d me to summarize. Some of it obviously comes from
4	newspape	r articles. Some of it's just my own impressions.
5	Q	And where did the Senator get the material?
6	А	I understand he got it from ACC.
7	Q	Now, you'll note it's dated March 19, 1987.
8		Did you write this, if you know, before or after
9	the meet	ing with Senator McCain and Ms. VanPaasschen?
10	A	I think before, because I think that meeting was
11	late in	the day. I don't remember but that would be my best
12	guess si	nce the meeting was late in the day with them. And
13	I don't	recall having been there real late that night.
14	Q	If you remember, did you or Senator DeConcini
15	bring th	is memo with you to that meeting?
16	A	I'm not certain. I expect I did.
17	Q	Would you be kind enough to look at Exhibit 136,
18	please?	
19		Now, the memo which you previously have just
20	read, Ex	hibit 140, is dated March 19, 1987. This Exhibit
21	136 is da	ated two days earlier.
22	-	Have you seen this before?
23	A	Yes, I have.
24	Q	Would you tell us what this is?
25	λ	Well, the first two pages are a letter to Senator

.

-

、

-

•

	55
1	DeConcini from Mr. Keating. Then there's an exhibit marked
2	Exhibit 2, which is a schedule of the FHLBB examination of
3	Lincoln. That's two pages. Then there's a long quote from
4	E. Gerald Corrigan, President of the Federal Reserve Bank of
5	New York. Then there's two pages entitled "Appraisal of
6	Assets Issue." Then there's a page on explanation of
7	Capital Regulations, history of Direct Investment debacle,
8	the Henkel Story. That's it.
9	Q Would it be fair to say, and correct me if you
10	don't think it's fair to say, that the attachment that says
11	Schedule is there's a listing of what obviously Mr. Keating
12	perceives as being FHLBB concerns and his, Mr. Keating's
13	responses.
14	A That's the way it appears.
15	Q I mean, that's what it says there, right?
16	A Right.
17	Q Did you use this, at all, if you remember, the
18	Keating letter to the Senator, in preparation of the March
19	19th memo?
20	A I believe I did along with probably some other
21	information.
22	Q Would you be kind enough to turn to Special
23	Counsel Exhibit 200?
24	Let me ask you if you can identify that?
:5	A I think, and I'm not absolutely certain, but I

- .-

ŝ.

r Ar Marine Ar

	- 56
1	think this was something else I had along with that other
2	material, because it appears that some of the material in
3	here was in my memo.
4	Q . There's no doubt, is there, that this Exhibit was
5	prepared for purposes of the meeting with Ed Gray? It says
6	so right on top.
7	A Right.
8	Q Would you be kind enough to read this into the
9	record?
10	A This whole page?
11	Q Yes.
12	A "Talking points for meeting with Ed Gray.
13	"1. FHLBB examination activities must stop
14	now. If Chairman Gray attempts to argue that he
15	is not involved with the examination, the point
16	must be made aggressively that:
17	"a) Lincoln has been told
18	on numerous occasions that its
19	exam is being directed out of
20	Washington, that it is being
21	continued because of orders
22	from Washington, and that San
23	Francisco personnel are very
24	sensitive because of the
25	involvement of Washington; and
jł	

-

·- . - 1

•

H	
	57
	· · ·
1	"b) The purpose of this
2	meeting is to get him
3	involved, as the Chairman of
4	the FHLBB, and to resolve the
5	exam in a fair and equitable
6	manner as follows:
7	"2. Lincoln is willing to:
8	"a) withdraw its lawsuit
9	attacking the Board's
10	authority to issue the Direct
11	Investment Regulation;
12	"b) withdraw its motion
13	for recusal by Ed Gray;
14	"c) divest itself of all
15	insured deposits over a ten-
16	year period in accordance wit
17	policies espoused by E. Geral
18	Corrigan. President of the
19	Federal Reserve Bank of New
20	York (see Exhibit 1); and
21	"d) comply with additional
22	concessions previously
23	outlined (see Exhibit 2.)
24	"3. The FHLBB must disengage immediately, put
25	a hold on all exam-related letters and

. . .

-

	h	
		58
1		activities, and simply structure a settlement in
2		accordance with the terms described above. The
3		settlement must include specific FHLBB
4		recognition that the current direct investments
5		are grandfathered for purposes of both the Direct
6		Investment Regulation and the Net Worth
7		Regulation. The settlement must not be in the
8		form of a supervisory agreement or cease and
9		desist order. Rather, the FHLBB's final
10		examination letter and Lincoln's response should
11		serve as a basis for the settlement."
12	Q	Now, as far as you know, who prepared this?
13	А	I don't have specific knowledge. I assume
14	somebody at AC.	
15	Q	Now, you'll notice in the upper left hand corner,
16	next to where it says "talking points for meeting with Ed	
17	Gray," the	ere's a handwritten note "won't use"?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	That's not your writing?
20	A	No, it isn't.
21	· Q	.Ms. VanPaasschen, you'll recall, has testified
. 22	that it was her note.	
23		Did you discuss this document with her?
24	A	I don't recall specifically discussing it, no.
25	Q.	You might have or you might not have, is that?

-•-

-

59 It's certainly possible. I don't recall it. 1 λ 2 Did you ever discuss this memo with Senator 0 3 DeConcini? A I don't recall a specific discussion. I think 4 5 this was probably in the material he gave me to summarize, which was the April 19th memo. 6 Were you, as a key banking staff, if I may refer 7 Q to you in --8 A The only. 9 The only, the one and only banking staffer, 10 Q aren't you troubled, as an experienced banking staffer, at 11 some of the points that ACC were advocating in this memo, 12 such as FHLBB examination activities must stop? 13 I think it's typical of the way they would write 14 A things. It's overly dramatic. It's certainly stronger than 15 what showed up in my memo. 16 The FHLBB must disengage immediately, and that's 17 Q 18 in caps. Often times people send us things that are much A 19 stronger than the way we would put it. I mean, I don't know 20 that it particularly offended me. I think it's just sort of 21 typical of the way they wrote things, dramatically. 22 In this Exhibit 200, it says "Lincoln is willing 23 0 to a) withdraw its lawsuit attacking the Board's authority, 24 and b) is willing to withdraw its motion to recuse Gray." 25

J.

60 Do you see that? 1 Yes, I do. 2 A So your office was, prior to the meeting with Ed ο 3 4 Gray, your office was well aware of the fact that both a lawsuit had been filed against the Board, and that there had 5 6 been a filing to recuse Ed Gray? Yes. I think that's in my April 1st memo. Α 7 Did you ever discuss with Senator DeConcini, 8 Q those issues of meeting with Mr. Gray, the Chairman of the 9 Board, when this lawsuit is pending and the petition to 10 remove is pending? 11 λ I don't remember discussing the lawsuit or the 12 petition. 13 14 Q Do you remember discussing it with anyone, - 15 expressing concerns at all about it? I don't think so. 16 А Prior to the filing of a motion to recuse Ed 17 0 Gray, did your office ever receive a copy of that petition 18 to recuse? 19 We could have. ACC used to send us tons of 20 А material, and most of it I didn't read and threw away, 21 because I just didn't have the ability to get through it 22 all. They could have. I don't remember it specifically, 23 24 but they sent us a lot of paper. 25 Q But that would be, I mean, you just wouldn't

1

 \geq