	108
1	please.
2	(Handing document to the Witness.)
3	Can you identify that?
4	A Yes. This is a letter from Senator McCain on May
5	25th, 1989, to me in Miami.
6	Q Would you be kind enough to read that into the
7	record? I apologize for the blotch on that last paragraph
8	but that is Senator McCain's Xeroxing machine.
9	It is throughout many of his documentsor maybe
10	it is Mr. Dowd's Xeroxing machine.
11	Would you read that into the record, please?
12	A Read the whole letter?
13	Ω If you would.
14	λ Yes.
15	"Dear Mr. Gray:
16	"As you know, there have been many reports in
17	the media recently about the meeting held with
18	five U.S. Senators, including myself, early in
19	1987 regarding Lincoln Savings & Loan.
20	"According to comments attributed to you in
21	the media, you were quite displeased by the
22	meeting. You said you thought the Senators were
23	exerting undue pressure to influence the Home
24	loan Bank Board treatment of Lincoln.
25	"I, for one, tried to make it very clear that

	109
1	I did not want to do anything improper and wanted
2	to be told if our inquiries on Lincoln's behalf
3	would be so considered.
4	"To clarify the circumstances, I would
5	appreciate it if you would, to the best of your
6	recollection, tell me if you think any of my
7	comments or actions in the meeting were improper.
8	As a U.S. Senator I have an obligation to
9	represent my constituents and determine whether
10	or not they receive equitable treatment from the
11	government, and to do everything in my power to
12	ensure that they receive fair and equitable
13	treatment under the law.
14	"That does not mean, however, that I should
15	intervene improperly on their behalf with any
16	government agencies. To do so would be an abuse
17	of my authority and I would like to know if you
18	think"
19	It must mean "if you think I did so"; it does not say that.
20	Mr. Garment. Do you have a clean copy of this, Mr.
21	Bennett? All right, well, do the best we can.
22	Mr. Bennett. This is the best copy.
23	The Witness. Maybe he left out a word.
24	Mr. Garment. I know a place that sells a machine that
25	will blotch the whole document if it is incriminating.

•

1	(Laug)	iter.)
2		itness. "To do so would be an abuse of my
3		authority, and I would like to know if you
4		think"and apparently it meant "I think"if you
5		think "I did so in"it must be"in the meeting
6		in which we discussed Lincoln Savings.
7		"I" blank "that this issue has been an
8		extremely difficult one, Ed, and I respect the
9		manner in which you have handled it. Hopefully
10		we will learn from the mistakes of the past and
11		can prevent our Nation from ever having to face
12		this kind of crisis again.
13		"Sincerely,
14		"John McCain, United States Senator."
15		By Mr. Bennett: (Resuming)
16	Q	Now after you got that letter, you responded to
17	that lette	er, didn't you?
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	But you also had a telephone call with Senator
20	McCain?	
21	λ	Yes.
22	Q	Did the call come before the letter was written,
23	or after t	the letter was written?
24	A	It was after I responded.
25	Q	Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit No. 71?
	I	

1.

110

-

н			
		111	
1		(Handing document to the Witness.)	
2	A	Oh, well, that's the one we've already seen. Oh,	
3	here it i	is.	
4		Yes. Okay.	
5	Q	Would you look at Exhibit No. 71? Was that your	
6	response	to him?	
7	λ	Yes. It's a May 30 letter from myself to Senator	
8	McCain.		
9	Q	Could you read that into the record, please.	
10	λ	"May 30th, 1989.	
11		"Transmitted by Facsimile. Original by	
12		Federal Express.	
13		"The Honorable John McCain	
14		"United States Senator	
15		"Washington, D.C. 20510	
16		"Dear Senator McCain:	
17		"I am in receipt of your letter of May 25th,	
18		1989, and I appreciate the opportunity you have	
19		provided to comment.	
20		"As you will recall, there were two meetings.	
21		The first was held in Senator DeConcini's office and began at 6:00 p.m. I believe the date of	
22		and began at 6:00 p.m. I believe the date of this first meeting was April 2nd, 1987.	
24		Attending the meeting were myself, you, and	
25		Senators DeConcini, Cranston, and Glenn.	
		Senators beconcini, transton, and grean.	

÷.

	112
1	"The second meeting was held I believe on
2	April 9, 1987, in Senator DeConcini's office as
3	was attended I believe by you, Senators
4	DeConcini, Cranston, Glenn, Riegle, and by four
5	representatives of the 11th District Federal Home
6	Loan Bank Board, including Principal Supervisory
7	Agent James Cirona, Agency Functions Director
8	Michael Patriarca; Supervisory Agent Sanchez; and
9	General Counsel William Black. I did not attend
10	the second meeting, which was requested by
11	Senator DeConcini.
12	"I remember well the first meeting. Senator
13	DeConcini, presumably acting for you and for
14	Senators Glenn and Cranston, because Senator
15	DeConcini who was the host of the meeting used
16	the term 'we' when he began the discussion,
17	presented me with what I considered to be a guid
18	pro quo at the outset of the meeting.
19	"He said, first, that 'we' want to discuss
20	with you (Chairman Gray) some concerns we have
21	about Lincoln Savings. He said, 'we' wanted the
22	meeting because 'our friend' at Lincoln Savings
23	had relayed these concerns to us."
24	Mr. Bennett. Excuse me.
25	Mr. Chairman, there is quite a bit of noise coming from

	113
1	the back.
2	I am wondering if the Sergeant at Arms couldwe
3	are hearing a lot of noise up here.
4	Thank you, Officer.
5	Chairman Heflin. See if you can
6	The Witness. I will read that again.
7	"He said, 'we' wanted the meeting because our
8	friend at Lincoln Savings had relayed these
9	concerns to 'us'. Senator DeConcini and you said
10	you (Chairman Gray) recently put a regulation
11	into effect and that 'we' are concerned the
12	regulation may be unconstitutional.
13	"Senator DeConcini attributed to 'our friend'
14	knowledge of the Constitutional issue. Senator
15	DeConcini said 'we' would be very concerned that
16	a regulation might be unconstitutional (and
17	therefore illegal). Senator DeConcini asked me
18	if it wouldn't be possible to withdraw or at
19	least put a moratorium on the regulation (the
20	'Equity Risk Regulation' which had been adopted a
21	few weeks earlier by the Bank Board).
22	"If I could do this, he said, 'we' would help
23	you (Chairman Gray) with a problem with Senator
24	DeConcini said I had with Lincoln Savings:
25	namely, my (alleged) concern that Lincoln wasn't

۱

· 1,

		114
1		making enough home loans."
2		By Mr. Bennett: (Resuming)
3	Q	Let me just ask you a question. Why don't you
4	just read	it without the quotes and parens.
5		The document is in evidence, and people can read
6	it for the	nselves.
7	λ	Fine. That's fine.
8		"I do not know the source of this alleged
9		concern of mine that Lincoln was not making
10		enough home loans. I did not bring up this
11		allegation. Perhaps the allegation emerged from
12		discussions Senator DeConcini had with the
13		friend. At no time in my meeting with you and
14		your three Senatorial colleagues was Charles
15		Keating's name mentioned by any of you.
16		"References were made to Lincoln, and once I
17		recall to American Continental. Senator
18		DeConcini proposed to withdraw the placement of a
19		moratorium which would have had the same
20		practical effect on the Equity Risk Regulation,
21		while we determine whether it is Constitutional.
22		"He said, we wouldn't want a regulation on the
23		books which isn't Constitutional. Lincoln
24		Savings had earlierhad several weeks earlier
25		sued the Federal Home Loan Bank Board in Federal

--

	115
1	District Court on the grounds that this same
2	regulation was unconstitutional.
3	"I replied to Senator DeConcini's quid pro quo
4	that I was curious as to how we might possibly
5	determine the constitutionality of the regulation
6	if it were withdrawn, since Constitutional
7	questions require adjudication of the courts.
8	"Further, I went into a long discussion of the
9	history of the direct investment regulation,
10	forerunner of the Equity Risk Regulation, how the
11	Bank Board had proposed and then adopted the
12	first such regulation in 1984-85, and how it had
13	heen adopted again in '87, and how the subsequent
14	stronger Equity Risk Regulation had been adopted
15	only recently.
16	"I dwelled in my conversation on how the Bank
17	Board had gone through the full regulatory
18	process along the way, including the use of
19	public comment periods, public hearings, and even
20	lengthy Congressional inquiry and oversight
21	hearings for more than three years, all relating
22	specifically to the direct investment and equity
23	risk regulations.
24	"I said to Senator DeConcini that I would not
5	withdraw or place a moratorium on the regulation;

	116
1	that I couldn't do so even if I had wanted to do
2	so, which I didn't, because the Bank Board, not
3	just its Chairman, was solely expowered to do so
4	and that the proper place to determine questions
5	of Constitutionality was in the courts.
6	"Incidentally, after my term expired as a
7	Member of the Bank Board, the Federal District
8	Court ruled that the Equity Risk Regulation was
9	and is indeed Constitutional. The regulation
10	remains in effect.
11	"This approximately hour-long meeting in
12	Senator DeConcini's office also took up concerns
13	raised by the Senators in the meeting about the
14	length of time the examination of Lincoln was
15	taking" and then there was in parens here
16	"(examination by regulators in the 11th Federal
17	Home Loan Bank Board District) about appraisal
18	standards which the Senators understood,
19	presumably from the friend, were allegedly harsh
20	and unfairly applied on Lincoln by the 11th
21	District Regulators; about the financial
22	condition of Lincoln, I was unable to provide
23	information on this; and concerns that I did not
24	know the financial condition of Lincoln or about
25	why the examination was taking so long, or about

•

.

د. مراجع

	117
	the application of appraisal standards on
	Lincoln.
	"I pointed out I could not provide answers to
	the questions you and your colleagues were
ļ	raising about the regulation of Lincoln because
	this was in what I described as the very capable
1	hands of the people at the San Francisco Bank,
	Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, 11th
	District, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and that
	these regulators were among the very best, if not
	the best, in the Federal Home Loan Bank system.
	"I told you and your colleagues that I
	believed it would be unseemly for me to have a
	peculiar interest in Lincoln and know the
	particulars of Lincolni.e., its financial
	condition and regulatory characteristicswhen I
	was the chief regulator of some 3000 FSLIC-
	insured savings institutions.
	"I was a policy-maker and the head of a
	federal agency, I said, and with the very capable
	supervisory and examination staff in the 11th
	District, San Francisco, I had no need to know
	the particulars in question, particularly in
	regard to Lincoln.
	"I went on to tell you and your colleagues

1. that your friend had accused me personally many 2 times of having a vendetta against Lincoln and that, under the circumstances, it would be 3 4 particularly unseemly of me I felt to have an 5 unusual interest in the supervision and 6 examination of Lincoln. *I told you and your colleagues that I was 7 satisfied that the first-rate team from San 8 9 Francisco Bank was regulating Lincoln properly. 10 "Senator Glenn I recall was particularly unhappy that I didn't know more about the 11 regulation of Lincoln, given the fact that I was 12 13 the chief regulator, and that the people in San Francisco might be running wild. 14 "I repeated I was satisfied and pointed out 15 that I had recruited Michael Patriarca to the 16 17. first-level agency functions regulatory job in San Francisco. I noted that he had come from the 18 Office of the Comptroller of the Currency in 19 20 Washington as Deputy Comptroller of Multinational Banks. 21 "In any event, I told you and your colleagues 22 that if my life depended on it I could not tell 23 24 you about the financial condition or regulation 25 of Lincoln because I don't know and I don't

118

believe	In	eed	to	know	these	thing	18 .		
"The	reg	ulat	ion	of	Lincolr	N WAS	in	the	nost

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

capable regulatory hands in the Federal Home Loan Bank system, I repeated to you and your colleagues, in Senator DeConcini's office. I told you and your colleagues you therefore would have to talk with the regulators in San Francisco.

"I said I would call them and arrange for them to meet with you and your colleagues, if you wished.

"A few days later I received a telephone call from Senator DeConcini who I believe was calling from Arizona requesting to meet with the regulators from the 11th District.

"I immediately returned to my office at the Bank Board, told my colleagues on the staff, including Chief of Staff Shannon Fairbanks, Senior Special Assistant Mary Ellen Taylor, and Acting Deputy Director of the FSLIC William Black, what happened and what was said in my meeting with you and your three Senatorial colleagues in Senator DeConcini's office a few minutes before.

"You, Senator McCain, are free to call them to

119

	120
1	verify what I have related in this story as I
2	related it to them at the time.
3	"I am aware, of course, Senator, that your
4	colleagues in the meeting in question, which I've
5	related above, have denied that the quid pro quo
6	made to me by Senator DeConcini occurred.
7	Nevertheless, I have described Senator
8	DeConcini's proposal precisely and accurately.
9	Perhaps this is the reason why your colleagues
10	have denied it so vehemently.
11	"In light of current events relating to
12	Lincoln, as well as the so-called S&L crisis
13	which will cost American taxpayers hundreds of
14	billions of dollars, it truly must be
15	embarrassing that details of this discussion in
16	this meeting have been disclosed publicly.
17	"Frankly, Senator, I was taken aback by the
18	brazenness of the proposal made by Senator
19	DeConcini made on behalf of a 'friend.' I
20	believe he also was referred to as a constituent
21	once in the meeting.
22	"I had never been asked until this meeting
23	with you and your colleagues by any United States
24	Senator to withdraw a regulation for any reason,
25	particularly on behalf of a friend, and

-

-

1 especially in the privacy of a Senatorial office. 2 "Senator Cranston had publicly expressed 3 unhappiness with me at a hearing of the Senate 4 Banking Committee in the spring of 1984 for my vigorous espousal of a proposed brokered funds 5 6 regulation, apparently on behalf of constituents, 7 or perhaps even friends, but never had such a bold proposal been made to me directly on behalf 8 of a particular institution by even one Senator, 9 10 not to mention four Senators. "I have to assume, because I have never been 11 12 told otherwise, that Senator DeConcini was speaking on behalf of the other Senators in his 13 office attending this meeting with me, including 14 15 yourself. 16 "Senator McCain, I do believe that Senator DeConcini's proposal was certainly an abuse of 17 18 Senatorial authority, to answer your question 19 specifically. If he truly was speaking on your 20 behalf, which I don't know for sure was the case, 21 then, yes, it was an abuse of Senatorial 22 authority again, and certainly it was tantamount 23 to an attempt to subvert the very regulatory 24 process that previous Congress' had written into 25 the law as appropriate public policy.

1 "While it is of course possible that you 2 somehow did not realize this at the time, or at least did not fully realize the implications of 3 4 the discussion, I certainly did as regulator, and I could not help but be continually amazed during 5 6 the meeting that all of you as I recall asked repeatedly whether there was anything improper in 7 what was being discussed. 8 "I always responded, and I recall doing so 9 deliberately, that as United States Senators it 10 11 was not improper to ask questions. It was of 12 course imminently improper to propose the withdrawal of a regulation for any reason, and 13 particularly because a friend apparently wanted 14 it done--a constituent who operated a thrift 15 16 institution under my jurisdiction as head of a 17 United States Government agency. 18 "Having seen you on television expressing 19 regret that you were involved in these meetings 20 is something I appreciate, particularly in retrospect, because the meetings were and are an 21 22 embarrassment. Denying that any of this took 23 place in the discussion and suggesting that the only subject to come up was somehow a cursory 24 25 expression of concern about the length of time

122

-	123
1	the examination of Lincoln was consuming is
2	simply inaccurate and untruthful.
3	"It is simply an inaccurate and untruthful
4	exposition of the meeting which, as you will
5	surely recall, went on for an hour without any
6	interruption.
7	"You say in your letter, Senator, that you
8	respect the manner in which I have handled this
9	extremely difficult issue. I am not sure I quite
10	understand what you mean by this, Senator. The
11	fact is I tried to be a good regulator. I tried
12	to do everything I could during my tenure to
13	avert the disastrous thrift crisis we all face
14	today.
15	"I saw my efforts which were, as you will
16	recall, immensely unpopular with many I regulated
17	including the friend, as necessary nonetheless.
18	I will let history be the judge of my
19	stewardship.
20	"I saw my job as a regulator as that of
21	safeguarding the health of our country's
22	financial system and, more particularly, as that
23	of protecting the Federal Deposit Insurance
24	System which, as we all now well know, is fully
25	underwritten by every federal taxpayer in
1	• • • • •

.

--

• •

America.

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

"In short, my job as I saw it was to protect the FSLIC and the taxpayers, which is the sole reason for our Federal Deposit Insurance System. I did not see my responsibility as protecting savings and loan operators and investors. This was not my role as overseer of the Thrift Deposit Insurance Fund nor, frankly, should it be the role of any Member of Congress since it is, or ought to be, Congress' responsibility to protect the very Deposit Insurance System it created in the first place and, without compromise.

"I respect you for having written your letter and not merely resorted to name-calling, as several of your Senatorial colleagues have done. You seem to sincerely regret your involvement in the unfortunate event I have described.

"As you say in your letter, Senator McCain, hopefully we will learn from the mistakes of the past and can prevent our Nation from ever having to face this kind of thrift crisis again. I would add, however, that the regulatory process for federally insured financial institutions was established by the Congress and the President as a means of trying to safeguard the safety and

		125
1		strength of the Nation's financial system.
2		"Exhibiting respect for the integrity of the
3		regulatory process and supporting it against
•		those who would subvert it and subvert it for any
5		reason whatsoever ought to be the proper
		response, especially in the now-glaring light of
,		this incident which occurred in the darker
в		privacy of a Senatorial office.
		"Thank you again, Senator McCain, for writing.
		Don't hesitate to call me if I can be of further
L		assistance.
2		"Sincerely,
3	-	"Ed."
	Q	Now if you felt so strongly as that letter
	obviously	suggests you did, why did you wait until May of
5	1989, som	e two years later, to make these statements?
,		(Counsel conferring with Witness.)
3	λ	You know, I had a meeting with four other people.
	There were	a no witnesses except them.
,		There was no paper trail, only what I had told my
L	staff.	
:	Q	Keep your voice up. I can't
	λ	Only what I had told my staff.
		I frankly had mentioned this to some reporters
	and some o	others, not in hopes a story would be written, just

	126
1	as a matter of fact.
2	Nobody decided to write anything about it, and
3	that didn't bother me, but I did get a call in the week
4	before May 21st, 1989, from a reporter whose name was John
5	Dougherty, a reporter for the Dayton Daily News.
6	Now this reporter had apparently worked for a
7	newspaper in Arizona.
8	I think it was the Arizona Republic. And he was
9	familiar with the Senators in Arizona and things in Arizona,
10	and Lincoln, and he also was now working in Ohio wherethis
11	is where Lincolnwhere American Continental is chartered,
12	and also where one of the Senators was, Senator Glenn.
13	So he wanted to write a story.
14	And in the course of this conversationand he
15	already knew about the April 9th meetingin the course of
16	the conversation, he asked me why the April 9th meeting
17	happened and I told him, well, you know there was a meeting
18	a week before, which he was not aware of.
19	And I told him about the meeting, and he wrote
20	this story in the Dayton Daily News of May 21st, 1989.
21	Q Well, I guess what I still don'tthat just
22	doesn't answer my question.
23	X That's the rest answer I can give.
24	Q Well, let me repeat it and maybe rephrase it a
25	little differently.
ļ	

. ,

	#
	127
1	This letter you have just read and wrote to
2	Senator McCain is, you know, everybody who hears it can
3	characterize it themselves, but it is a very strong letter.
4	It has a tremendous amount of feeling in it.
5	And I kind of wonder why it took you two years to
- 6	lay it all out.
7	A Well, I've just told you the reason.
8 9	Q Are you telling us if this reporter had not happened to cal: you, that this letter would not have come
9 10	out and maybe we would not be here?
10	A That is probably right, unless some other
12	reporter had called later and asked the same question and
13	decided to write the story.
14	Absolutely.
15	But you have to remember, there was no witness to
16	this. It was just me and four other Senators.
17	I did not believe then, and of course I had good
18	reason in light of history, I didn't believe then that they
19	would admit to this.
20	I wasn't out to have a fight with Senators. That
21	wasn't my point.
22	I was just trying to be responsive to the
23	reporter.
24	It was a fewseveral weeks later when apparently
25	the news of this story spread, and then reporters began

1.10

	. 128
1	asking some of the other Senators who vigorously denied it,
2	and called me a liar, or something like that, and I didn't
3	like the fact that they were calling me a liar.
4	One thing I don't like is that, because I don't
5	lie.
6	I mean, I'm sorry I didn't lie about that
7	meeting, and so I responded.
8	That's what happened.
9	Q Well, let me get it clear.
10	Did this letter follow Senatorial statements
11	about you in the meeting?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Or did this letter come first?
14	À No. No, my letter in response to Senator McCain
15	came considerably later, as I recall.
16	Q Well, what was there about certain Senators'
17	reactions to the story you referred to that prompted the
18	letter, if I am understanding you.
19	A Sure. Well, then the story spread and reporters
20	started asking the Senators, they had very unkind things to
21	say, that: Oh, why would you believe that incompetent
22	regulator?
23	Q I can't hear you.
24	A "Why would you believe that incompetent
25	regulator?" You know, "he's trying to deflect attention

· · ·

~

. .

	129
1	from his own failure as the head of the Federal Home Loan
2	Bank Board," those kinds of things.
3	They were very derogatory comments about me, and
4	I felt it was wrong simply because I had in fact told the
5	absolute truth.
6	Q All right, now when you wrote that letter did you
7	have knowledge of, or did you have available to youand
8	I'll give you the numbers, but I will describe them since
9	obviously you don't rememberdid you have available to you
10	Special Counsel Exhibit No. 194, which was Ms. Sedlmayr's
11	memorandum of March 19th, '87?
12	A No.
13	Q Did you have available to you Special Counsel
14	Exhibit No. 196, which is Ms. Sedlmayr's memo of April 1st,
15	'87?
16	A I had nothing other
17	Q Just answer
18	A I'm answering it. Okay?
19	Q Did you have that exhibit?
20	A No, I did not.
21	I had no
22	What about Special Counsel Exhibit No. 200, which
23	has previously been identified as "Talking Points for the
24	Meeting with Ed Gray"?
25	A What is that again?

H		-
		130
1	Q	There was aremember, you looked at Special
2	Counsel E	xhibit No. 200.
3		It was "Talking Points for a Meeting with Ed
4	Gray"?	
5	λ	No. As I say, I had nothing.
6	Q	Did you have Mr. Black's memorandum
7	λ	Yes.
8	Q	of the April 9th meeting?
9	λ	Oh, sure.
10	Q	Did you have enything else?
11	λ	No. Nothing.
12	Q	Now when did Senator McCain call you in reference
13	to this l	etter you wrote to him of May 30th, 1989?
14	λ	Was that the date of his letter to me?
15	Q	This is the date of your letter to him, May 30,
16	' 89.	
17		I assumed he calledDid he call you? Or did you
18	call him?	
19	λ	Oh, I think he called me.
20	Q	Was that after you sent him this letter?
21	λ	Let me just look at this, the dates.
22		I don't remember. May 25thI wrote it on May
23	30th.	
24		So that was five days later. What was the
25	question a	again?

i. Au

· · ·

	-
	131
	Q When did Senator McCain and you have a
	conversation? Was it after you sent him this letter, or
	before?
	A After I sent him the letter.
	Q Do you remember how long after?
	A No, I don't, but it must have been, you know, a
	week, two, three, four, right in there.
	Q Within a number of weeks?
	λ Yes. Right.
	Q Do you remember what the conversation was?
	λ Yes.
	Q Would you please tell us?
	A He said he was terribly embarrassed about that
	meeting
	Q I can't hear you, Mr. Gray.
	A He said he was terribly embarrassed about the
	meeting.
	He said that what he had suffered was worse than
	his worst day in Vietnam.
	He was very sorry that he ever went to the
	meeting. And he also said that he didn't consider me to be
	a liar.
ĺ	He said he just couldn't remember. And I
	remember I said, which I often say, "I love you, I love
	you," because he was the first one who hadn't called me a

1	
*	

1	liar.	
2	Q	Who did?
3	λ	Senator McCain had not called me a liar.
4		He said he simply couldn't remember. But he also
5	said, almo	ost in the same breath, that, you know, Senator
6	DeConcini	did make that proposal in the second meeting.
7	It's right	t there in Bill Black's notes.
8	Q	What else was said at that meeting?
9	λ	You mean in that telephone call? In the
10	telephone	call?
11	Q	Yes. I'm sorry, in the telephone call.
12	λ	It was not a very long call.
13		It was probably just, you know, a few minutes,
14	three, fou	ur minutes.
15	Q	On page 1 of your letter you used the words "quid
16	pro quo."	Were those the precise words used at the meeting?
17	λ	Oh, absolutely not. That was just my
18	descriptio	on. You know, a quid pro quo is a trade-off.
19		You know, if you withdraw the regulation, we'll
20	get our fr	iend at Lincoln to make more home loans.
21	Q	Is that what you meant by the words "quid pro
22	quo*?	
23	λ	Right. Yes. But that kind of word was not used
24	in the mee	ting, no.
25		It was just a descriptive way toit was a way to

.

,

. Š

•

132

2	
	133
describe	• it.
Q	Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit No.
524.	(Handing document to the
Witness.	.)
	Can you identify that?
λ	This is a letter written to me
Q	Keep your voice up, please.
х	This is a letter written to me on June 2nd, 1989,
from Ser	nator Dennis DeConcini.
Q	Would you please read this letter into the
record.	
λ	Yes.
	"Dear Mr. Gray:
	"Your letter to Senator McCain dated May 30,
	1989, allegedly describes a April 2, 1987,
	meeting between you, Senators McCain, Glenn,
	Cranston, and myself. There is little
	relationship between the meeting you describe and
	the one we held.
	"Your recollection of the meeting is so
	distorted as to bear no resemblance to fact. I
	do not plan to get into an item-by-item
	refutation of the charges in your letter.
	"However, I clearly recall that our meeting
	focused primarily on your insistence that you

97. 57.

	. 134
1	knew nothing of Charlie Keating or Lincoln
2	Savings, and that you recommended we meet with
3	your regulators from San Francisco.
4	"You specifically urged us to meet with the
5	San Francisco regulators and would not discuss
6	any specifics of the case, pleading ignorance.
7	"Your new allegations of a 'deal' are simply
8	false. I am surprised and disappointed that a
9	former high Administration official would stoop
10	to this kind of duplicity.
11	"Sincerely,
12	"Dennis DeConcini."
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-

-

₽ ⊁

j

.

-

		135
1	Q	Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit 525?
2		And, by the way, did Senator DeConcini ever call
3	you?	
4	λ	No.
5	Q	Have you had a discussion with him since
6	X	No.
7	Q	You've got to let me finish my question.
8	λ	I'm sorry.
9	Q	since your letter to Senator McCain?
10	А	The last time I talked to him was when he called
11	me to tell	me that he wanted to meet with the San Francisco
12	regulators	
13	Q	Look at 525. Is that your response to Senator
14	DeConcini?	
15	*	Yes, it is.
16	Q	Would you read that into the record?
17	- λ	"June 5, 1989."
18	Q	Keep your voice up.
19	х	* Senator Dennis DeConcini
20		"Member, United States Senate, Washington, D.C.
21		20510
22		"Dear Senator DeConcini,
23		"I am in receipt of your letter of June 2,
24		1989. Senator, you have understandable chosen to
25		use selective recall of the meeting in your
ļ	l	

~

:

and the second secon