1988 campaign. 1 For that campaign, I take it that he did raise 2 and contribute money to you? 3 He did. 4 λ He was one of some 20 or 30 people who served on 5 the executive finance committee, which these individuals had 6 agreed to raise \$50- to \$100,000. 7 8 Mr. Keating volunteered to raise \$100,000 for our committee. 9 10 We accepted that. 11 We had other volunteers--home builders, bankers who also had agreed to do that, farmers; some raised more 12 than \$100,000. 13 Mr. Keating did not raise that much, although he 14 had offered to do so. 15 Do you recall how much he raised for the 1988 16 Q 17 campaign? I do. He raised \$48,000. 18 A And were these contributions properly reported? 19 Q They were. 20 λ And I think it is important that that money did 21 not come from Mr. -Keating. 22 23 It came from people that he solicited money--some from his family, some from employees, and some from friends 24 that he was able to get contributions from. 25

9

Hand Street of Street of Street

1 I take it that some of the contributions did come Q 2 from Mr. Keating, though? 3 λ Mr. Keating and Mrs. Keating made a maximum contribution--I have checked since all of this started--of 4 5 \$4000, \$2000 each; \$1000 to the primary, and \$1000 to the general election for 1988. 6 7 Q And did they also make a contribution in 1988 to 8 your PAC? λ And \$1000 to the Political Action Committee that 9 I had formed in an effort to help other candidates. 10 11 So a total of \$5000 came from the Keatings, Mr. 12 and Mrs. 13 Q In the 1988 campaign, Senator, how much did you 14 raise? 15 λ We raised \$3.2 million. Mr. Keating's contribution, I figured it out, 16 17 amounted to approximately 1.6 percent of the total amount 18 that was raised for my 1988 campaign. 19 0 Now when you say "his contribution," you mean the money that he raised, the total amount he raised? 20 The money that he raised, the \$48,000 that he 21 A raised, was 1.6 percent of the total amount of money that 22 23 was raised for my 1988 campaign. Now you say that Mr. Keating offered to raise 24 Q 25 \$100,000, but he only raised \$48,000.

6

Was he called on to raise the additional amount? 1 2 λ No, he was not. And why not? Q 3 We did not need the money. λ 4 We were quite successful in raising money. 5 We had had a number of people who had volunteered 6 to raise substantial monies. 7 We had a good network of small contributors, and R we were ahead of our goal in 1988 when the campaign really 9 got going and we did not need to raise any money. 10 We ended with a surplus, as a matter of fact. 11 Now has the money that Mr. Keating raised and Q 12 contributed since been returned? 13 It has. λ 14 15 Both the 1982 money and the 1988 money was returned by my wife and myself out of our personal funds. 16 Why did you do that? 17 Q I did that after the Federal Government, the 18 A Justice Department and the Resolution Trust filed a billion 19 20 dollar lawsuit against Mr. Keating and some of his associates, and it was a RICO lawsuit which was charging 21 civil fraud. 22 And I have had a policy through my entire process 23 of elections that I do not take money when people have fraud 24 cases filed against them, or criminal actions, or might have 25

1 criminal actions.

,

5

2	I have given those funds back on a number of
3	occasions. In one case, the indictment did not come through
- 4	I recall and it was a little bit embarrassing, but I had
5	been told that the person was going to be indicted.
6	In this case it was a civil fraud suit that had
7	been filed. Because it was my standard not to accept funds
8	from people who had this kind of cloud over them, without
9	judging the guilt or the innocence, I elected to return
10	those funds at that time.
11	Q Were these funds returned before Common Cause
12	filed its complaint in this case?
13	- A Yes, they were. They were returned before Common
14	Cause filed the complaint.
15	Q Now, Senator .eConcini, do you recall how much
16	money Mr. Keating raised for your 1982 campaign?
17	- 4 I believe it was \$31,000.
18	Q And was this amount of money properly reported to
19	the FEC?
20	A It was. It was.
21	Q And I believe you said it has since been
22	returned?
23	A It was returned during the same time and for the
24	same reason after the Resolution Trust filed the billion
25	dollar RICO lawsult against Mr. Keating and some of his

٠

.

12

-

· '#

1 associates. 2 Q And Senator DeConcini, again tell the committee 3 from what funds this money was returned? 4 λ This money was returned from my wife's and my 5 personal funds. Q Now you testified for the 1988 campaign that Mr. 6 7 Keating was on your finance committee. Is that correct? 8 A That is correct. 9 0 And I take it that when he would raise this 10 money, this \$48,000, that he would periodically send this 11 money in to the campaign? 12 A He did. From what I have seen all the records 13 now, he kept the campaign advised as to how he was raising 14 it, and the campaign kept him advised. 15 Q When this money would come in to your campaign, 16 were you aware of this at the time? Α At the time the money came to the campaign, I was 17 not aware of it. I never knew when campaign contributions 18 were made, and that was on purpose, that I would not have an 19 20 instant replay of when campaign and checks came in to the 21 campaign. The thank you letters were written by the campaign staff, and usually always signed by the campaign 22 staff, signing my name to them. 23 24 Q What is a Maxi Form, Senator DeConcini? 25 A Maxi Form was a form derived by my finance A

1 chairman where someone agreed to give the maximum amount of 2 contribution of \$1000, and that they could do it over 3 several years. It also had all the information necessary for the Federal Election Commission's reporting basis. It 4 5 was for our reporting purposes and the committee, on the 6 campaign committee. And would these Maxi Forms for the contributors 7 Q from whom Senator Keating raised--Mr. Keating raised money 8 9 show that Keating was the sponsor? Yes, they would. 10 A Now did you see these Maxi Forms, Senator 11 Q DeConcini? 12 I did not. 13 λ Did you review the FEC reports showing that 14 Q 15 contributions had been made? Well I would receive those reports. In the early A 16 years of our 1988 campaign, the reports were due semi-17 annually. Twice a year they would be mailed to me by my 18 finance chairman and the committee. 19 I would look at those reports, at least the first 20 two pages or three pages, to see what the income was and how 21 much we were spending and what the balances were. 22 I rarely went through all of the pages and looked 23 at the contributors. On occasion, I did. I do not remember 24 a specific time, but I do remember on occasion looking at 25

٦.,

14

1	those.
2	Q Now you say that the reports were filed semi-
3	annually. Explain that in some more detail. The reports
4	for the first six months of a year, when would they be
5	filed?
6	A Except on an election year, under the Federal
7	Election laws, the reports are filed every six months on a
8	calendar basis. So the report for the first six months of
9	1985 would have been filed no later than the last day of
10	July 1985 covering that six-months' period. Then the next
11	six months would be the last day of January, 1986, and would
12	cover the last six months of 1986.
13	On the election year, the year of my election
14	1988, they are filed quarterly. Then so many, I think it is
15	15 days, after the quarter ends.
16	Q So contributions that were made in July and
17	August, for example, in a non-election year would be
18	reported the next year at the end of January? Is that
19	correct?
20	A That is correct. That is correct. Reported to
21	the Federal Election Commission.
22	Q Now looking at these FEC reports, Senator
23	DeConcini, would you be able to tell exactly what money Mr.
24	Keating had raised for you?
25	A Unless the name "Keating" was on it, it would be

difficult. Although if you looked at it, Mr. Keating or 1 American Continental had a number of corporations--I don't 2 3 know all of them; I knew Lincoln was one of course, and Continental Homes was one--so if you looked at them and saw 4 5 those as the employer, you could assume that that might have 6 been Keating money. 7 But he had I believe six or eight different corporations, and I was not aware of the Keating 8 9 contributions unless it said "Keating" or it said "American 10 Continental." Then I might be aware. As I said, often I 11 did not even look at all of the reports that were coming in. 12 Q Now for the contributions that were made to you, were thank you letters sent? 13 14 Thank you letters were as a routine matter sent A 15 very promptly after the check came in to the campaign office 16 which was located in Phoenix. Those letters were a letter 17 that I had helped write with my campaign staff, and they signed those letters and sent them out very quickly. 18 19 So you did not personally sign these thank you Q 20 letters? I did not. 21 A Would you see copies of the thank you letters at 22 0 23 some time, Senator DeConcini? λ These letters were mailed to me, to my home, with 24 the Federal Election Commission records. In 1985 and 1986, 25

الموانين ال الموانين الم الموانين الم

we did not have that many. On occasion in 1988 we would get 1 2 just a package of the letters because of the tremendous 3 increase in fund raising and the amount of contributions we were receiving. Just for a moment, that was \$3.2 million 4 with a maximum of \$1000 contribution, which gives you a 5 little idea of how many contributors you would have. We had 6 well over 7000 contributors. 7 So for the years 1985 and 1986, there might be a 8 Q lag of as much as six months before you would actually see a 9 copy of a thank you letter? 10 That is correct. And the thank you letters were 11 λ for any amount--\$5, \$500, or \$1000. But the amount was not 12 mentioned in the thank you letter. 13 But in any event, in those years it might be six Q 14 15 months before you would actually see--That's correct. 16 A 17 Q --the document. A That is correct. 18 19 Now to be a little more specific, Senator 0 DeConcini, the records show that in 1985 that in July and 20 August of that year \$26,000 was received by your campaign 21 from Mr. Keating as a result of his fund raising efforts. 22 Were you aware at the time this money was 23 received that it indeed had been received? 24 I was not aware of it. 25 A

R din River

1 Q Senator DeConcini, the records show that in 1986 \$18,000 was raised by Mr. Keating in April and July, and 2 3 mainly August. Were you aware at the time that this money 4 had been received by your campaign? 5 A I was not aware. You know, the argument can always be made, Mr. Hamilton, that you should be aware 6 because the money is coming to your campaign, but 7 8 unfortunately time-wise, distance-wise, and the fact that we were raising \$3.2 million as our objective, it was 9 impossible to be aware of that and also conduct the Senate 10 11 duties. 12 And, quite frankly, I did not want instant 13 awareness of campaign contributions. I had to know of 14 course what the campaign was raising and how it was going, 15 and I would get those briefings from my campaign staff periodically as to the total amounts, but we did not go into 16 17 specifics. 18 On occasion I would be called by my campaign 19 finance chairman to help raise some money from some interest group or somebody who we might think that a call from me 20 21 would help. That would happen on occasion. More so in 1988 22 than any other time.

ないとうというという

and the second sec

Q Now just so I can tie this up. In 1987, the
records show that \$5000 in contributions were raised by Mr.
Keating, and that this money was received in October of

18

\$

1 1987. Were you aware at the time of these contributions? A I was not. 2 And the records show that in 1988, \$1000 was 3 0 raised by Mr. Keating, and that was received in July of 4 1988. Were you aware of this contribution at the time? 5 A No, I was not. 6 7 0 Now was this the last contribution that you received from Mr. Keating? 8 · 👗 I believe it was the last contribution received. 9 10 There may have been a \$100 contribution received by one of his relatives, I am told, for a fund raising event that cost 11 \$100, or \$125 or something like that, that they bought. 12 I believe that is correct because the exact 13 amount, to my recollection now after reviewing it, is 14 15 \$48,100 given, and that \$100 came from that event from some relative. 16 17 Q Senator DeConcini, in your years in the United States Senate, have you accepted honoraria? 18 19 A Mr. Hamilton, I have a standard, and this is my 🚽 personal standard. I came here with it. Both as to 20 political contributions and as to honorariums. 21 22 I believe that the appearance of honorariums is 23 not a good appearance for members who are fully paid in the Congress. Now that is my personal view. And as a result of 24 that personal view, I have never taken honorariums while I 25

and the second states

- 8

1 am here. 2 My own standard is also that political 3 contributions should be as isolated or as far away as 4 possible from the duties or the responsibilities of a 5 Senator, realizing the system we have where you have to raise so much money, and the limits that you can receive it 6 7 from people it is difficult to always separate those. But it has been my policy and standard to attempt 8 to set up a finance committee, a finance chairman, and we 9 10 talked about this with the finance committee and those that would raise funds, that we would not want to mix, if 11 possible, substantive Senate business and campaign 12 13 contributions. Well sticking with honoraria for a moment, if you 14 Q had accepted honoraria during your years in the Senate, how 15 much could you have earned? 16 17 A I could have earned in excess of \$350,000 over the 14 years that I have been there, and I have elected on 18 19 my own personal standards and basis not to receive 20 honorariums. 21 Senator, you have said that you did not accept Q honoraria because of your personal standards and because of 22 the appearance that it would create. 23 24 Let me ask you this question: If other members of the United States Senate 25

20

È

and the second state of the second state of the

accept honoraria and therefore to some degree violate or 1 contravene the standard that you have set, do you think that 2 they have done anything unethical?. 3 Absolutely not, Mr. Bennett, and I have expressed λ 4 that both to my colleagues here on occasion who we have 5 talked about honorariums, and pay raises, and what have you, 6 7 and I feel that that is a standard I set myself as to what I felt was an appearance, and I was comfortable with it, and I 8 feel that that is only Dennis DeConcini's standard and 9 should not be applied to anyone else. And I have never 10 applied it to anybody else. 11 I am only smiling because you called me "Mr. 12 Q Bennett." 13 λ Excuse me, Mr. Hamilton. 14 15 (Laughter.) Mr. Bennett. I do not mind at all, Senator. 16 17 (Laughter.) The Witness. My apologies, Mr. Bennett, or Mr. 18 19 Hamilton. 20 (Laughter.) Vice Chairman Rudman. Or both. 21 Mr. Hamilton. Just remember when you send the 22 check, which one to send it to, please. 23 (Laughter.) 24 The Witness. Believe me, I know. I know how to 25

21

\$27

1 spell your name, Mr. Hamilton. 2 (Laughter.) The Witness. And you know how to cash my checks, 3 4 too. (Laughter.) 5 BY MR. HAMILTON: (Resuming) 6 7 Q Schator DeConcini, what was your general practice in dealing with contributors who may have needed some 8 9 assistance from your office? A Well we never excluded contributors, or anyone. 10 We had a policy of nondiscrimination. We would help 11 12 Republican, Independents, Democrats. We would help people who did not contribute. 13 As a matter of fact, the man that I beat in 1976, 14 former Congressman Steiger, asked for help on several 15 16 occasions and I did it. I know he not only did not contribute to me, he 17 was I thought very mean and hateful during the campaign. 18 But he had a legitimate concern, and that is the policy we 19 20 use with all contributors. We did have a policy with the finance chairman 21 that we were not to give any favoritism to contributors, and 22 in my staff, the Senate staff, we had a policy that they 23 were not informed as to the contributions. We did not 24 25 circulate contributors' lists.

22

1-1 -- --

المراجع المراجع

I think Ms. Sedlmayr testified to that guite 1 emphatically, that she did not even know how much, if any--I 2 am sure she knew that some contributions had been received 3 by the DeConcini campaign in '88 from Mr. Keating and his 4 associates--until she read about it in the newspaper. 5 6 That was our policy. We would not shy away from 7 assisting contributors any more than we would shy away from any other constituent who we felt had a legitimate concern 8 about their government. 9 Was there ever a time in your Senate career when 10 Q 11 some contributors suggested some linkage between your official action and a contribution? 12 13 λ Yes, there was. 14 I had one very unfortunate experience, or unpleasant, I would say, where I sat down with my finance 15 chairman and two gentlemen--I am not going to mention their 16 17 names -- and they offered to make the maximum contribution and to raise some funds, and in turn they wanted me to intercede 18 with one of the agencies that regulated housing. 19 We cut that meeting off immediately, and that is 20 21 the most prominent time that that has happened. I have also, as I said, returned campaign 22 contributions from people who have given me an envelope when 23 you are at some event, and you find out the person has a 24 major litigation against him, or has been told that there 25

A set of the set of th

ŝ.---